

June 14, 2021

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Utah Public Service Commission Heber M. Wells Building, 4th Floor 160 East 300 South Salt Lake City, UT 84114

Attention: Gary Widerburg Commission Administrator

RE: Docket No. 21-035-01

Update to the Application to Increase the Deferred Rate through the Energy Balancing Account Mechanism

On March 15, 20201, in accordance with Utah Public Service Commission ("Commission") Rule 746-1-203, PacifiCorp, d.b.a. Rocky Mountain Power, filed its Application to increase the deferred EBA rate through the Energy Balancing Account mechanism.

Since this filing, Rocky Mountain Power noticed an error in its jurisdictional load allocation. To correct the Application submitted in March, Rocky Mountain Power submits a Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Testimony. Included as Exhibit A to the Motion for Leave is testimony from witness Jack Painter fully describing the error along with an update to the EBA deferral shown in Exhibit RMP___(JP-1S). Also included are confidential workpapers and revised EBA Filing Requirements 2 and 3.

Rocky Mountain Power respectfully requests that all formal correspondence and requests for additional information regarding this filing be addressed to the following:

By E-mail (preferred):	datarequest@pacificorp.com utahdockets@pacificorp.com jana.saba@pacificorp.com emily.wegener@pacificorp.com
By regular mail:	Data Request Response Center PacifiCorp 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 2000 Portland, OR 97232

Utah Public Service Commission June 14, 2021 Page 2

Informal inquiries may be directed to Jana Saba at (801) 220-2823.

Sincerely,

Tille wa Joelle Steward

Vice President, Regulation

cc: Service List – Docket No. 21-035-01

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Docket No. 21-035-01

I hereby certify that on June 14, 2021, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by electronic mail to the following:

Utah Office of Consumer Services

Michele Beck	mbeck@utah.gov
Alyson Anderson	akanderson@utah.gov
Bela Vastag	bvastag@utah.gov
Alex Ware	aware@utah.gov
ocs@utah.gov	
Utah Association of Energy Us	sers
Phillip J. Russell (C)	prussell@jdrslaw.com
Neal Townsend (C)	ntownsend@energystrat.com
Division of Public Utilities	
dpudatarequest@utah.gov	
Western Resource Advocates	
Sophie Hayes	sophie.hayes@westernresources.
	org
Nancy Kelly	nkelly@westernresources.org
Assistant Attorney General	
Patricia Schmid	pschmid@agutah.gov
Justin Jetter	jjetter@agutah.gov
Robert Moore	rmoore@agutah.gov
Victor Copeland	vcopeland@agutah.gov
Rocky Mountain Power	
Data Request Response Center	datarequest@pacificorp.com
Jana Saba	jana.saba@pacificorp.com
	utahdockets@pacificorp.com
Emily Wegener	emily.wegener@pacificorp.com

MmML

Mary Penfield Adviser, Regulatory Operations

Emily L. Wegener (12275) 1407 West North Temple, Suite 320 Salt Lake City, Utah 84116 Telephone No. (801) 220-4526 Facsimile No. (801) 220-3299 E-mail: <u>emily.wegener@pacificorp.com</u>

Attorney for Rocky Mountain Power

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

)

)

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER TO DECREASE THE DEFERRED EBA RATE THROUGH THE ENERGY BALANCING ACCOUNT MECHANISM

Docket No. 21-035-01

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY

Pursuant to Utah Admin. Code R746-1-109, Rocky Mountain Power, a division of PacifiCorp ("Company"), hereby submits this motion ("Motion") for leave to file supplemental testimony and exhibits not contemplated in the Commission's Scheduling Order and Notice of Hearing issued March 31, 2021 ("Scheduling Order").

On March 15, 2021, the Company filed the above-captioned application ("Application") to the Public Service Commission of Utah ("Commission") pursuant to energy balancing account mechanism ("EBA") tariff Schedule 94, requesting approval to recover approximately \$1.7 million in deferred EBA Costs ("EBAC"). Since filing the Application, the Company has discovered an error in its jurisdictional load calculation and seeks leave to file supplemental testimony and exhibits attached hereto as Exhibit A to explain and correct the mistake. 1. Under Utah Admin. Code R746-1-401, parties are only permitted to file pre-hearing testimony "as required or permitted in the Commission's scheduling order, or as otherwise directed by the Commission." Additionally, Utah Admin. Code R746-1-109 allows the Commission to deviate from any rule if the party making the motion to deviate demonstrates that the hardship of complying with the rule outweighs its benefits.

2. The Scheduling Order does not contemplate additional written testimony until after the Division of Public Utilities ("Division") issues its audit report on November 5, 2021.

3. As described more fully in the proposed supplemental testimony attached hereto as Exhibit A, the Company discovered an error in its jurisdictional load calculation that resulted from a partial failure on the metering of an interstate transmission line between Utah and Idaho occurring between July 2019 and March 2020. This error resulted in an understatement of Utah load and an overstatement of Idaho load during this time period. Idaho's order on the Company's most recent Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism filing adjusts the Company's Idaho revenue to account for this error. The proposed supplemental testimony explains the similar adjustment to the EBA.

4. The Company has notified the Division and the Office of Consumer Services about the error and its intent to file supplemental testimony.

5. The supplemental testimony is beneficial to all parties' understanding of the Company's correction to its original filing. The benefit of the explanation outweighs any hardship caused by filing testimony not contemplated by the Scheduling Order. Considering that the

2

Division's audit is not due until November 5, 2021, nearly five months from now, there is little if any hardship posed from allowing the testimony.

Accordingly, the Company respectfully requests that the Commission grant the Motion.

DATED this 14th day of June 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

Einley Wegenen

Emily L. Wegener 1407 West North Temple, Suite 320 Salt Lake City, Utah 84116 Telephone No. (801) 220-4526 Facsimile No. (801) 220-3299 E-mail: <u>emily.wegener@pacificorp.com</u> *Attorneys for Rocky Mountain Power*

Exhibit A

Rocky Mountain Power Docket No. 21-035-01 Witness: Jack Painter

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF UTAH

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

Supplemental Testimony of Jack Painter

June 2021

1	Q.	Please state your name, business address and present position with PacifiCorp,
2		dba Rocky Mountain Power ("the Company").
3	A.	My name is Jack Painter and my business address is 825 NE Multnomah Street, Suite
4		600, Portland, Oregon 97232. My title is Net Power Cost Specialist.
5	Q.	Are you the same Jack Painter who submitted direct testimony on behalf of the
6		Company in this proceeding?
7	A.	Yes.
8	Q.	What is the purpose of your supplemental testimony?
9	A.	My supplemental testimony discusses the Company's update to the Company's
10		Application to correct jurisdictional loads. This update affects the allocation of net
11		power costs ("NPC") to the EBA.
12	Q.	Why did the jurisdictional loads change?
13	A.	On April 28, 2021, the Company was contacted by staff with the Idaho Public Utilities
14		Commission ("IPUC") to research a discrepancy between loads and sales. As part of
15		analyzing the load data, the Company identified a partial meter failure on an interstate
16		transmission line between Utah and Idaho at the Treasureton substation in Idaho that
17		occurred between July 2019 and March 2020. Initially, the failure occurred
18		intermittently and continued to increase until ultimately the meter was only registering
19		approximately two-thirds of the actual energy. The line flow on this line is typically
20		from Idaho to Utah, so this partial failure understated the power leaving Idaho to Utah
21		which resulted in understating Utah loads. Data was used from the Wheelon substation
22		to correct the erroneous data at the Treasureton substation.

Page 1 – Supplemental Testimony of Jack Painter

Q. Please describe how the Company calculates and allocates the jurisdictional load. 24 At the most basic level, the amount of actual load allocated to a jurisdiction is calculated A. 25 by netting energy flow into and out of each jurisdiction while accounting for the amount 26 of energy generated within its borders, as described in the following equation. Actual 27 Load at input = Input at borders + Generation within borders - Output at borders. Any 28 load that is physically in the jurisdiction but outside PacifiCorp's service territory is 29 subtracted out so that only PacifiCorp customer load is included. Once the Company 30 calculates each jurisdiction's actual load, it is then able to calculate the percentage of 31 load attributable to each jurisdiction.

23

32 What steps does the Company take to ensure that jurisdictional loads are **Q**. 33 calculated accurately?

34 A. Several layers of analysis are conducted to ensure that the jurisdictional loads are 35 accurate. Data inputs to the jurisdictional loads are validated with alternate data 36 sources. For example, PacifiCorp has an end of hour check out with Balancing Area 37 Authorities at the opposite end of tie lines. These end of hour, checked out values are 38 compared against inputs into the jurisdictional loads. PacifiCorp utilizes Itron's MV90 39 software to interrogate meters used in calculating the jurisdictional loads. The MV90 40 software has automated validation checks on the meter clock, register reads to profile 41 data tolerances, and volts dropping to zero. Each component of the load calculation is 42 graphed to look for consistency of load shape. Once calculated, the load data is compared against PacifiCorp's Energy Accounting System for reasonability and 43 44 consistency with historical load shapes.

Page 2 – Supplemental Testimony of Jack Painter

45 Q. Why didn't the Company previously detect the jurisdictional load error?

A. Typically, a failure of an instrument transformer will be a complete failure with volts
registering at zero. MV90's automated validation identifies the data as failing the
validation check and the failed validation is investigated. In the case of the Treasureton
meter, the three volts channels in the meter were still registering voltage. One of the
three phases had partially failed, registering lower voltage. Because the validation
check passed, erroneous data was not suspected.

52 Q. Has the Company changed any of its processes in response to the problem 53 uncovered with the jurisdictional loads calculation?

A. Yes. The Company has added a control to ensure the three volts readings are in line with each other. The report looks at the values for all three volts channels. If any of the three volts readings is lower than the other two, meter engineers are contacted for analysis of the meter's accuracy.

58 Q. What action did the Company and IPUC take as a result of this error?

A. IPUC staff recommended that the IPUC reduce the Company's recovery under the
 Idaho Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism application in 2021 by the amount of the
 jurisdictional load error. The Company accepted the adjustment, and its application was
 approved.¹

63 Q. How do the jurisdictional loads impact the EBA deferral calculation?

A. When updating the loads to the EBA workpapers in tab (5.1) Actual Factors, the System
 Energy (SE) and System Generation (SG) allocation factors are recalculated. Because
 Utah loads were understated, both the SE and SG allocation factors increase which

¹ In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power's Application Requesting Approval of \$16.1 Million Net Power Cost Deferral (ECAM), Case No. PAC-E-21-09, Order No. 35055 at 3 (May 28, 2021).

- 67 result in higher allocated NPC to the EBA.
- 68 Q. What is the impact to the 2021 EBA?
- A. As shown in my Exhibit RMP_(JP-1S), the updated SE and SG allocation factors
 resulted in a \$4.9 million change to the EBA deferral, comprised of \$4.3 million in
 additional NPC and \$577 thousand in interest. With this update, the Company's
 requested collection in Electric Service Schedule No. 94 ("Schedule 94") is
 \$6.6 million, which is a decrease of \$31.2 million or 1.5 percent.
- Q. Did the Company update the proposed rate spread and rates for Schedule 94 as
 presented by Mr. Robert M. Meredith in this supplemental filing?
- A. No. The Company will update and present the final rates once the approved recovery
 amount is known.
- 78 Q. Did the Company update the filing requirements as part of this supplemental
 79 filing?
- A. The Company reviewed the filing requirements to determine if any were impacted by
 the updated allocation factors. Due to the fact that most of the filing requirements
 related to total Company NPC, which were not impacted, only two filing requirements
 were affected. EBA Filing Requirements 2 and 3 have been updated and provided with
 this supplemental filing.
- 85 Q. Is it appropriate to update the EBA deferral calculation due to the change in Utah
 86 loads?
- A. Yes. The purpose of the EBA is to either recover or refund the difference between actual
 and base NPC on a Utah allocated basis. After the Company discovered the discrepancy
 in Utah allocated loads, it updated the EBA deferral calculation to reflect the changes.

Page 4 – Supplemental Testimony of Jack Painter

Because the Utah loads were understated, ultimately NPC allocated to Utah were alsoand therefore it is appropriate to update the EBA.

92 Q. Why should the Company be permitted to recover for a jurisdictional allocation 93 error that took place, in part, outside of the deferral period?

94 The Commission has previously accepted the Company's view that the statute A. 95 authorizing the EBA "does not preclude updates when new information becomes available."² The Company did not know of the partial meter failure when it submitted 96 97 its EBA application in 2020 or 2021. It is providing this update soon after discovery of 98 the issue. Idaho has already reduced the Company's recovery due to the overallocation 99 of load to Idaho for the entire period that the partial meter failure condition existed. If Utah does not approve the change, the Company will not be allowed to recover its 100 101 prudently incurred costs.

102 Q. Does this conclude your supplemental testimony?

103 A. Yes.

² In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of Its Proposed Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism, Docket No. 09-035-15, Order at 12-13 (February 16, 2017) (reversed in part on other grounds) (permitting prior period accounting entries pertaining to operating periods prior to the EBA deferral period).

Rocky Mountain Power Exhibit RMP___(JP-1S) Docket No. 21-035-01 Witness: Jack Painter

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF UTAH

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

Exhibit Accompanying Supplemental Testimony of Jack Painter

Updated Commission Order Calculation Method (Dynamic Annual Allocation Factor)

June 2021

Utah Energy Balancing Account Mechanism January 1, 2220 - December 31, 2020 Exhibit 1 - Commission Order Catculation Method (Dynamic Annual Alloc

ocation Factor)	
Annual Allo	Reference
l (Dynamic	
ion Methoc	
er Calculat	
ission Ord	
it 1 - Comr	
xhibi	Line

Line No.	Reference	Jan-20	Feb-20	Mar-	to Api	r-20 M	ay-20	Jun-20	Jul-20	Aug-20	Sep-20	Oct-20	Nov-20	Dec-20	Updated Lo	ads As Fi	iled Va	riance
Actual: Utah Allocated																		
1 NPC 2 Wheeling Revenue	(2.1) (4.1)	\$ 55,111,70 (4,090,06	1 \$ 54,616, 6) (3,812,	994 \$ 56,7; 880) (3,8	34,136 \$ 46 36,302) (2	,812,988 \$. ,599,886)	49.776.826 \$ (3.933.618)	55,340,167 \$ (5,305,177)	69,532,810 \$ (5,505,628)	67,991,475 \$ (5,893,985)	54,426,138 \$ (5,492,610)	53,651,148 \$ (4,467,210)	51,119,891 \$ (3,860,678)	58,214,153 (1,100,187)	\$ 673,328 (49,928	3,426 \$ 671 3,226) (49	,913,252 \$ (817,289)	1,415,175 (110,936)
3 Total	Σ Lines 1:2	\$ 51,021,63	5 \$ 50,804,	114 \$ 52,8	37,833 \$ 44	,213,102 \$	45,843,208 \$	50,034,990 \$	64,027,183 \$	62,097,490 \$	48,933,528 \$	49,183,938 \$	47,259,213 \$	57,113,966	\$ 623,400	0,200 \$ 622	,095,962 \$	1,304,238
4 Jurisdictional Sales	(5.2)	2,083,86	9 1,875,	969 1,9	30,671	,728,180	1,890,590	2,045,475	2,529,660	2,653,008	2,046,075	1,978,370	1,975,636	2,132,493	24,865	997 24	1969,997	
5 Actual Utah \$/MWh	Line 3 / Line 4	\$ 24.4	8 \$ 2	7.08 \$	27.38 \$	25.58 \$	24.25 \$	24.46 \$	25.31 \$	23.41 \$	23.92 \$	24.86 \$	23.92 \$	26.78	\$	25.07 \$	25.01 \$	0.05
Base: Utah Allocated																		
6 NPC 7 Wheeling Revenue	(3.1) (4.1)	\$ 52,951,27 (3.422.34	4 \$ 49,340, 6) (3,422.	602 \$ 52,6 346) (3.4	32,441 \$ 48 22.346) (3	1,247,358 \$	49,229,412 \$ (3.422,346)	51,883,412 \$ (3.422.346)	60,534,576 \$ (3.422.346)	60,895,340 \$ (3.422.346)	49,740,054 \$ (3.422.346)	49,325,488 \$ (3.422,346)	49,731,889 \$ (3.422,346)	53,488,153 (3.422.346)	\$ 628,000 (41.068	0,000 \$ 628 3,157) (41	1,000,000 \$ 068.157)	· .
8 Total	Σ Lines 6:7	\$ 49,528,92	8 \$ 45,918,	256 \$ 49,2	10,095 \$ 44	,825,011 \$	45,807,066 \$	48,461,065 \$	57,112,230 \$	57,472,993 \$	46,317,708 \$	45,903,142 \$	46,309,543 \$	50,065,807	\$ 586,931	843 \$ 586	,931,843 \$	
9 Jurisdictional Sales	(5.2)	2,020,37	0 1,829,	854 1,9	12,391	,832,113	1,821,070	1,903,419	2,191,141	2,157,502	1,865,837	1,829,381	1,877,678	2,013,529	23,244	1,285 23	1,244,285	
10 Base Utah \$/MWh	Line 8 / Line 9	\$ 24.5	1 \$ 21	5.09 \$	25.87 \$	24.47 \$	25.15 \$	25.46 \$	26.07 \$	26.64 \$	24.82 \$	25.09 \$	24.66 \$	24.86	\$	25.25 \$	25.25 \$	
Deferral:																		
11 \$MWH Differential	Line 5 - Line 10	\$ (0.0	3) \$	1.99 \$	1.52 \$	1.12 \$	(0.91) \$	(1.00) \$	(0.75) \$	(3.23) \$	(0.91) \$	(0.23) \$	(0.74) \$	1.92	Ş	(0.18) \$	(0.24) \$	0.05
12 EBA Deferrable	Line 4* Line 11	\$ (63,97	5) \$ 3,728,	646 \$ 2,9	26,217 \$ 1	,930,942 \$	(1,712,555) \$	(2,042,815) \$	(1,908,553) \$	(8,575,120) \$	(1,858,433) \$	(457,662) \$	(1,466,289) \$	4,090,132	\$ (5,409	9,466) \$ (E	;,713,705) \$	1,304,238
13 Special Contract Customer Adjustment Subject to Deadband	(7.1)	258,64	9 733,	648 3	74,438	650,213	1,057,941	2,045,959	1,284,985	(111,561)	(589,405)	(310,828)	118,948	(152,777)	5,36(0,211 E	,360,211	
14 Symmetrical Deadband	Docket 16-035-33	350,00	0 350,	000 3	50,000	350,000	350,000	350,000	350,000	350,000	350,000	350,000	350,000	350,000	35(000'0	350,000	•
15 Total Special Contract Adjustment	Line 13 - Line 14		- 642,	297 3	74,438	650,213	1,057,941	2,045,959	1,284,985	(111,561)	(589,405)	(310,828)	118,948	(152,777)	5,010	0,211 5	,010,211	
16 Utah Situs Resource Adjustment	(8.1)	11,21	5 221,	123 3	06,021	585,947	685,177	552,319	391,050	(377,520)	203,287	293,506	193,014	108,981	3,174	4,121 3	1,174,121	
17 Total Incremental EBA Deferral	Σ Lines 12 and Lines 15:16	\$ (52,76	0) \$ 4,592,	065 \$ 3,6	36,676 \$ 3	1,167,102 \$	30,563 \$	555,462 \$	(232,518) \$	(9,064,200) \$	(2,244,551) \$	(474,984) \$	(1,154,327) \$	4,046,336	\$ 2,774	4,865 \$ 1	,470,627 \$	1,304,238
Energy Balancing Account:																		
18 Monthly Interest Rate 19 Beninning Balance	Note 1 Prior Month Line 22	0.36	% 3 \$ 3.206.	36% 865 \$ 7.8	0.36% 18.970 \$ 11	0.32%	0.32%	0.32%	0.32% 15.352.057 \$	0.32% 15.168.801 \$	0.32% 6.138.993 \$	0.32% 3.910.663 \$	0.32% 3.447.555 \$	0.32%	\$ 3.247	7.893 \$		3.247.893
20 Incremental Deferral	Line 17	(52,76	0) 4,592,	065 3,6	06,676	,167,102	30,563	555,462	(232,518)	(9,064,200)	(2,244,551)	(474,984)	(1,154,327)	4,046,336	2,774	1,865	,470,627	1,304,238
21 Interest	Line 18 * (Line 19 + 50% x Line 20)	11,73	2 20,	040	35,041	42,176	47,482	48,583	49,262	34,392	16,221	11,877	9,281	13,986	34(0,074	182,131	157,942
22 Ending Balance	Σ Lines 19:21	\$ 3,206,86	5 \$ 7,818,	970 \$ 11,4	s0,687 \$ 14	\$ 996'699'1	14,748,011 \$	15,352,057 \$	15,168,801 \$	6,138,993 \$	3,910,663 \$	3,447,555 \$	2,302,509 \$	6,362,832	\$ 6,362	2,832 \$ 1	,652,758 \$	4,710,074
23 Interest Accrued January 1, 2021 through March 31, 2021	Line 22 * (1 + 1.0388% / 12) ^ 3 - Line 22														9	1,919	16,084	45,836
24 Interest Accrued April 1, 2021 through February 28, 2022	Line 22 and 23 * (1 + 1.0304% / 12) ^ 11 - Line 22 and 23														18,	1,322	47,099	134,223
25 Requested EBA Recovery	Σ Lines 22:23														\$ 6,606,	072 \$ 1,7	15,940 \$	4,890,132

Notes: 1 Interest rate is from Electric Service Schedule No. 300 due to Docket No. 09-035-15/Order Issued November 14, 2019.

Rocky Mountain Power Exhibit RMP___(JP-1S) Page 1 of 1 Docket No. 21-035-01 Witness: Jack Painter