| REDACTED |
|--------------------------------------|
| REDACTED Rocky Mountain Power |
| Docket No. 20-035-04 |
| Witness: Julie Lewis |
| vitalessi edale zenia |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION |
| OF THE STATE OF UTAH |
| OF THE STATE OF CTAIL |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER |
| |
| <u></u> _ |
| |
| REDACTED |
| Direct Testimony of Julie Lewis |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| October 2020 |
| |

| 1 | Q. | Please state your name, business address, and present position with PacifiCorp |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | | d/b/a Rocky Mountain Power ("PacifiCorp" or the "Company"). |
| 3 | A. | My name is Julie Lewis. My business address is 825 NE Multnomah Street, Suite 1800, |
| 4 | | Portland, Oregon 97232. I am currently the Vice President of People for PacifiCorp. |
| 5 | Q. | Please describe your education and professional experience. |
| 6 | A. | I joined PacifiCorp in 1980 and have worked in human resources since 1985. During |
| 7 | | this time, I have taken on roles of increasing responsibility, including as Director of |
| 8 | | Compensation and Benefits for two years, before assuming my current role in 2018. |
| 9 | | I. PURPOSE & SUMMARY |
| 10 | Q. | What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony in this case? |
| 11 | A. | The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to explain why the Public Service Commission |
| 12 | | of Utah ("Commission") should reject certain wage and labor related adjustments |
| 13 | | proposed by Utah Association of Energy Users ("UAE") witness Mr. Kevin Higgins. |
| 14 | Q. | Please summarize your testimony. |
| 15 | A. | In my testimony I explain why employee incentive payments should not be disallowed. |
| 16 | | The Company's incentive program is not a "bonus," is structured to provide benefits to |
| 17 | | customers consistent with Commission precedent, and is part of the Company's total |
| 18 | | market-based compensation package. The removal of incentive expense would |
| 19 | | therefore result in below-market compensation. |
| 20 | | II. ANNUAL INCENTIVE PAY SHOULD NOT BE DISALLOWED |
| 21 | Q. | Please summarize UAE witness Mr. Higgins' position on the Company's Annual |
| 22 | | Incentive Plan ("AIP") payments to employees. |

UAE witness Mr. Higgins agrees that the cost of annual incentive compensation plans

23

A.

CONFIDENTIAL – SUBJECT TO UTAH PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION RULES 746-1-602 AND 603 **REDACTED**

are appropriate when the compensation is "not excessive" and "not tied to utility financial performance, but rather to goals such as customer satisfaction, operating efficiency, and safety." He recommends the Commission disallow the percent of AIP that is related to the Company percent).

Q. Please describe PacifiCorp's compensation philosophy.

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

A.

The Company's primary objective in establishing employee compensation is to provide pay at the market average. Compensation at the market average (competitive level) is critical to attracting and retaining qualified employees to support the business and our customers. To encourage employee performance, a certain percentage of each employee's market compensation must be "at risk." The Company's AIP is structured so that each employee has the opportunity to receive total compensation at the market average, so long as the employee performs at an acceptable level. In exceptional performance years, an employee's at-risk incentive may be more than target and in low performance years it may be below target, but on average, the at-risk incentive is generally at the guideline level. If the individual fails to earn the full guideline incentive, that individual will be paid less than the competitive total cash compensation in the marketplace for that year. Central to the Company's approach to total compensation is that, while certain employees may be paid more than or less than market in a given year as a result of the at-risk incentive portion of compensation, on an overall basis the base compensation and at-risk incentive will result in a level of compensation commensurate with the market. Stated another way, in the unlikely event

¹ Direct Testimony of Mr. Higgins, at lines 602-605.

CONFIDENTIAL – SUBJECT TO UTAH PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION RULES 746-1-602 AND 603 ${f REDACTED}$

| 46 | | every employee performed at exactly the same level, each employee would be paid |
|----|----|--|
| 47 | | only at the market average. |
| 48 | Q. | What employees are eligible to receive AIP? |
| 49 | A. | Non-union employees who are in an exempt status (salaried employees) are eligible to |
| 50 | | receive AIP, which is over 80% of the Company's non-union employees. Non-exempt |
| 51 | | or hourly employees are not eligible for AIP. |
| 52 | Q. | Please describe how PacifiCorp determines how much AIP each employee |
| 53 | | receives. |
| 54 | A. | The Company uses Company-wide and department goals, which are detailed in |
| 55 | | scorecards, to determine at-risk incentive payments. Each management-level employee |
| 56 | | has an individual scorecard by which their at-risk incentive payment is determined. |
| 57 | | Employees without an individual scorecard are judged based on the PacifiCorp |
| 58 | | scorecard and their department scorecard. An employee's individual at-risk incentive |
| 59 | | payment is then adjusted according to their manager's assessment of their performance, |
| 60 | | their contribution to the department, and company scorecards. |
| 61 | Q. | How are scorecard goals determined? |
| 62 | A. | Individual department managers establish specific business unit goals consistent with |
| 63 | | the core principles of the Berkshire Hathaway Energy family of companies, which have |
| 64 | | direct customer benefits. The six core principles are: (1) customer service; |
| 65 | | (2) employee commitment; (3) environmental respect; (4) regulatory integrity; |
| 66 | | (5) operational excellence; and (6) financial strength. |
| 67 | | AIP compensation. Performance against scorecard goals is |
| 68 | | measured with Key Performance Indicators ("KPIs") that establish the measurable |

| metric for success. KPIs are specific and measurable goals, such as achieving a certain |
|---|
| reliability score or reducing the number of safety incidents. Business unit goals must |
| advance the business and demonstrate continuous improvement over previous year |
| goals. |

Q. Please explain the customer benefits associated with each core principle.

A.

incentive-based compensation provided to the Company by Berkshire Hathaway. Each individual's AIP may be based on any combination of these factors.

Customer Service is based on delivering reliable and dependable service to customers at fair prices. This principle also includes providing exceptional service to customers. Customer satisfaction surveys comprise of the total incentive-based compensation calculation, and approximately of the Customer Service category. Keeping customer rates stable and as low as possible, while ensuring reliable service, provides a direct customer benefit.

Employee Commitment is based on preventing employee injury and workplace accidents, encouraging teamwork, and meeting goals related to employee engagement, training, and development plans. Ensuring that PacifiCorp's employees are safe, healthy, engaged with the company, and well-trained helps ensure that PacifiCorp operates safely and well. This in turn benefits PacifiCorp's customers.

Environmental Respect focuses on increasing investment in renewable energy, improving emissions rates and efficiency of fossil-fueled generation, offering resources to help customers manage their energy use, and investing in new transmission and distribution equipment to reduce the loss of kilowatts and improve reliability. Reducing

CONFIDENTIAL – SUBJECT TO UTAH PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION RULES 746-1-602 AND 603

REDACTED

emissions, increasing renewable resources, offering demand-side resources, and improving reliability provides a direct benefit to PacifiCorp's customers.

Regulatory Integrity is based on minimizing rate increases by achieving balanced regulatory and legislative outcomes. Achieving favorable regulatory outcomes and legislation that does not have adverse impacts to the Company or its customers directly benefits customers.

Operational Excellence is based on achieving transmission and distribution reliability goals. Operational Excellence is also based on optimizing availability factors for PacifiCorp's thermal and renewables fleets, and on ensuring PacifiCorp's electronic and physical assets are safe and secure. A reliable transmission and distribution system, transmitting power produced by generating assets that are performing at optimal levels, and whose electronic and physical assets are safe and secure undeniably provides a direct benefit to PacifiCorp's customers.

Financial Strength is based on achieving strong credit ratings and maintaining a high-quality, diversified portfolio of regulated businesses. A financially healthy and well-capitalized utility is able to obtain lower interest rates, which translates to lower costs for customers.

- Q. If an employee received AIP less than the % that Mr. Higgins recommends be disallowed, would their compensation be below market?
- 111 A. Yes. As I explained above, if an employee did not earn the full guideline incentive, that
 112 employee would be paid less than the competitive total cash compensation in the
 113 marketplace for that year.

Q. Is AIP considered a "bonus"?

115 A. No. It is critical to understand that the "at risk" portion of total compensation is not a
116 bonus. A bonus is something unexpected. The "at risk" compensation is not
117 unexpected—in fact, it is the opposite. The "at risk" portion of total compensation is
118 expected by the employee, but only if the employee performs at or above an acceptable
119 level. Any reduction beyond the competitive target incentive level would place the
120 Company in a position of not being able to offer competitive pay levels and placing
121 operational and customer objectives at risk.

Q. Do you agree with Mr. Higgins that financial performance goals do not benefit customers?

A. No. As explained in the cost of capital testimony of Ms. Nikki Kobliha, the Company is able to maintain its high credit rating and receive favorable terms on long-term debt as a direct result of its financial strength.² This includes its ability to earn its allowed return on equity and meet net income targets.

Q. Have other jurisdictions approved recovery of the Company's AIP?

A. Yes. In docket UE-100749 Order 06, the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission stated: "As we decided in the last litigated case, we conclude that the AIP is an appropriate method of implementing "incentive-based" compensation." The Commission acknowledged that the "at risk" component of compensation was "not a bonus or a level of pay in excess of the maximum compensation for a position. It is simply motivation for an employee to strive for the total compensation for his or her

² Cost of Capital Rebuttal Testimony of Ms. Kobliha, at lines 165-177.

³ Wash. Utilities & Transp. Comm'n v. PacifiCorp d/b/a Pacific Power & Light Co., Docket UE-100749, Order 06, Final Order Rejecting Tariff Sheets; Authorizing Increased Rates; and Requiring Compliance Filing at 85 (Mar. 25, 2011).

| 135 | | position by achieving certain individual and group goals."4 |
|-----|----|---|
| 136 | Q. | Has the purpose or structure of the Company's AIP changed since the |
| 137 | | Washington decision issued? |
| 138 | A. | No. |
| 139 | Q. | Do you believe that Mr. Higgins has presented a basis for disallowing any portion |
| 140 | | of the Company's at-risk incentive program? |
| 141 | A. | No. As discussed above, AIP is designed to be an "at-risk" portion of total market |
| 142 | | compensation. To the extent AIP is tied to financial performance, those goals benefit |
| 143 | | customers. |
| 144 | | III. CONCLUSION |
| 145 | Q. | What is your recommendation? |
| 146 | A. | I recommend the Commission reject UAE's proposed disallowance of a portion of |
| 147 | | employee's "at risk" AIP pay because AIP is not a "bonus" resulting in "excessive" |
| 148 | | wages to employees and financial performance goals benefit customers. |
| 149 | Q. | Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony? |
| 150 | A. | Yes. |
| | | |

⁴ *Id*.

Page 7 - Rebuttal Testimony of Julie Lewis