

REDACTED Rocky Mount	ain Power
Docket No. 14 Witness: Gar	1-035-114 y W. Hoogeveen
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF UTAH	
OF THE STATE OF CTAIL	
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER	
ROCKT MOONTAINTOWER	
REDACTED	
Supplemental Surrebuttal Testimony of Gary W. Hoogeve	en
4	
August 2017	

REDACTED

1	Q.	How do you respond to intervenors in this proceeding who support an
2		unreasonably long grandfathering period for the current net metering structure
3		(including maintaining the retail rate in place), by claiming that any changes to
4		this structure will create instability, killing the solar industry in Utah? ¹
5	A.	I completely disagree. The actual facts in the case disprove such speculation. For
6		instance, in response to a data request served by the Company on Vivint Solar,
7		attached as RMP Exhibit(GWH-1SR), Vivint Solar indicated that in this market it
8		sells solar for \$3.20-\$3.80 per kW. In response to another data request served by the
9		Company on Auric Solar ("Auric"), attached as Highly Confidential RMP Exhibit
10		(GWH-2SR), Auric indicates it sells solar for per kW. This data shows a
11		swing of 10 percent within Vivint Solar's own customer group and percent,
12		comparing Vivint Solar's low range to Auric's sales price. Obviously there is a large
13		price range under which two of Utah's most successful solar sales companies are able
14		to attract an increasingly large number of customers. This actual data undermines the
15		Intervenors' speculation that the market would revolt to even a slight price swing. It
16		is therefore reasonable to conclude that there is significant room for a reduction to the
17		compensation (retail rate) that is currently paid for the exported energy.
18		Protestations of the intervention group notwithstanding, the actual data
19		demonstrates that there is ample room for sellers of private generation to adjust their
20		sales price to accommodate the change in economics due to the Joint Proposal. If
21		competitors in the market have price swings from \$3.20 to per kW in the same
22		market, that demonstrates the market is <i>not</i> so finely attenuated that a slight price

¹ *See* e.g., D. Black rebuttal testimony, ll. 75-84.

23	fluctuation would have drastic results, as the testimony by various intervenors would
24	suggest.